From: Kerry Bigelow on behalf of CityClerk
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 6:09 PM

To: Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

**Subject:** FW: Public comment on item 7.2 of council agenda for May 17, 2022

From: Mitchell Thompson

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 5:41 PM
To: CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Public comment on item 7.2 of council agenda for May 17, 2022

Warning: External Email

Oppose the Tenant Protection Ordinance and the proposed emergency ordinance. The council should not adopt this unneeded local ordinance. It adds legal and other expense to the cost of providing needed rental

housing. The city should redirect its efforts toward addressing the real issue with rental housing, the need to increase the supply of housing to meet the burgeoning demand for housing.

From: Kerry Bigelow on behalf of CityClerk
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 6:09 PM

**To:** Andrea Cardenas; Amanda Angulo; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

**Subject:** FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message-----

From: gabrielxavila@gmail.com ·

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 5:30 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas

<acardenas@chulavistaca.gov>

Cc: CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From:

Kerry Bigelow on behalf of CityClerk

Sent:

Tuesday, May 17, 2022 6:09 PM

To:

Andrea Cardenas; Amanda Angulo; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

Subject:

FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message----

From: Nancy Jimenez <

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 4:59 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez

<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov;

CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Nancy Jimenez

Nancy

From:

Kerry Bigelow on behalf of CityClerk

Sent:

Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:26 PM

To:

Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

Subject:

FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message----

From: Tanya Kim Stone

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:21 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas

<acardenas@chulavistaca.gov>

Cc: CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Tanya Stone-Finn

Sent in haste from my iPhone

From: Tyshar Turner on behalf of CityClerk
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:10 PM

To: Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

**Subject:** FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message----

From: obed caceres de la torre

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:54 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez

<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov;

CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From:

Tyshar Turner on behalf of CityClerk

Sent:

Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:57 PM

To:

Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

Subject:

FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message-----

From: D Gementera

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:40 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas

<acardenas@chulavistaca.gov>

Cc: CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Danielle Gementera

From: Tyshar Turner on behalf of CityClerk
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:26 PM

To: Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

Subject: FW: Comment: Tenant Protections: Consideration of Ordinances Regarding Local

Tenant Protections to Address No-Fault Just Cause Terminations of Tenancy Report

Number: 22-0135

----Original Message From: Vin Kuruvadi

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:24 PM To: CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Comment: Tenant Protections: Consideration of Ordinances Regarding Local Tenant Protections to

Address No-Fault Just Cause Terminations of Tenancy Report Number: 22-0135

Warning: External Email

# Dear City Councilmembers,

I have a home in the city of Chula Vista from past 4 years. I have a very big mortgage and I pay \$11,000 property taxes annually and insurance and repairs worth of \$7,000. I can't even cover just the mortgage from the rent I am collecting. You are making it extremely difficult for any homeowner to ever rent out their home. My daughter and her family will be moving to Chula Vista next year. All these extra regulations are going to cause undue burden on my family and my family should be treated equally as any renter. We cannot afford all these additional free moving expenses when we already are losing money. No homeowner can really make any money with even the present laws that are already existing. Please vote against this draconian extreme far reaching unfair regulations.

Thank you.

Vinod Kuruvadi

From:

Tyshar Turner on behalf of CityClerk

Sent:

Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:59 PM

To:

Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

Subject:

FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message----

From: Jennifer B

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:52 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas

<acardenas@chulavistaca.gov>

Cc: CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From:

Kerry Bigelow on behalf of CityClerk

Sent:

Tuesday, May 17, 2022 10:18 PM

To:

Amanda Angulo; Andrea Cardenas; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

Subject:

FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Kerry Bigelow, MMC | City Clerk | City of Chula Vista, Office of the City Clerk 276 Fourth Avenue | Chula Vista, CA | 91910 | (619) 407-3590 | kbigelow@chulavistaca.gov

Please note that email correspondence with the City of Chula Vista, along with attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.

----Original Message----

From: Eric Sanchez

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 10:11 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas

<acardenas@chulavistaca.gov>

Cc: CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Eric Sanchez

**From:** Tamisha Woods on behalf of CityClerk

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 4:43 PM

To: Sheree Kansas

**Subject:** FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message----

From: Ivan Jimenez

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 4:41 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez

<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov;

CityClerk < CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Ivan Jimenez Chula Vista Resident

From: Sheree Kansas

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 5:13 PM

To: A. Cardenas; Ali Zaidi; Amanda Angulo; Anthony Montalvo; Cristina Hernandez;

District2CouncilAide; Francisco Estrada; Glen Googins; Janette Chavez; Jill Galvez; John McCann; Joseph Perez; Karla Mendez; Kerry Bigelow; Maria Kachadoorian; Mary Salas;

Natalie Flores; Samantha Trickey; Sheree Kansas; Steve C. Padilla; Tyshar Turner

**Subject:** FW: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

----Original Message----

From: NiC GaRzA

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 4:53 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez

<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov;

CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents

My wife and I just bought a home for 1.275mil that was worth \$850,000 4 years ago. I did not pay all that money to live a very nice neighborhood only to be insulted with City Agenda item 7.2. No, no, and NO!

- Nicholas Garza

From:

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:04 AM

**To:** cityclerk@chulavista.gov **Subject:** Oppose Item 7.2

As a small Mom and Pop Housing provider we oppose Item 7.2 as it would drive up costs for both housing providers and residents, make it harder to make needed repairs and is wide reaching and ill thought out or rushed. We have long term tenants who we consider as neighbors as we also live on the property. We have a good relationship with our residents and are quick to resolve maintenance issues (i.e. slow drains, heaters, smoke/carbon monoxide alarms etc. They, in turn alert us in a timely manner of any problems or requests. We are strongly opposed to this further push into government overreach. We already pay \$230.00 annually to the State of California so an inspector can come in to "inspect" our property. This is just one more attempt by government to co-opt private property.

Steve and Tracy Froetscher

Sent from for Windows