Written Communications Item 7.2 – Noriega Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: Louis Noriega Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 11:33 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----From: Andra Johnston Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 11:50 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: Ginger A

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:25 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>;

acardneas@chulavistaca.gov

Cc: CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning:

External

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our Email community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes.

The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home.

It should be noted that I am not a landlord and do not own other property in Chula Vista other than my primary residence; however, I have seen how laws like these affect others (specifically during the pandemic when landlords were unable to move back into their own homes - completely absurd!)

Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: Dawn La Rae' Rankin

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 1:22 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez
<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>;
acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>
Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. From: Victor Garcia Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 1:46 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. -----Original Message-----From: David arnel **Sector** Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 2:01 PM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: Margarita Ruiz

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 2:13 PM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>;

acardneas@chulavistaca.gov

Cc: CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning:

External

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our Email community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Respectfully submitted



-----Original Message-----From: Cheryl Jones Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 2:15 PM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas <acardenas@chulavistaca.gov> Cc: CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----From: James Najera From: James Najera From: James Najera From: James Najera James Najera James Najera James Najera James Najera Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:57 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; CityClerk <cityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>; Andrea Cardenas <acardenas@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Re: Oppose Action Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

Resending with corrected email address for Andrea Cardenas.

James Najera

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 17, 2022, at 7:31 AM, James Najera <<u>jamesnajera@me.com</u>> wrote:

>

> As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Action Item 7.2 on todays agenda. The ordinance written poorly and may be used to harm our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City should be looking into ways to encourage builders to build residential properties in Chula Vista, remove red tape and regulations that would lower the cost of all new building in Chula Vista and pass the savings to buyers.

> Please vote NO on City Agenda Action Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

>

>

1_____

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Rust Received 5/17/2022

From: John Rust

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:36 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior,

encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Faucher Received 5/17/2022

From: Susan

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:52 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez
<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>;
acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>
Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. First Name Last Name Email Address Comments Robert and Joanne Snaith

We have (3) residential rental units in the city of Chula Vista. We have wonderful tenants. My husband and I have a great relationship with them. Our tenants usually stay with us for many years. My husband and I don't have Pensions or 401K's. These rental units are ALL WE HAVE for income, (and we still have a mortgage on them). So our NET income is minimal.

Additionally, we had decided to add 2 rental units on this property in the last few years, so that we would have income and help the rental situation in Chula Vista...and this is the "Thanks" we get from our city...? We were born in Chula Vista ...How many people can say that!`

It was an absolute NIGHTMARE going thru the City of Chula Vista's Permit process...and VERY EXPENSIVE. We are trying to wrap our head around WHY someone would vote against the Owner/Landlords who are providing a service...? PLEASE DO NOT VOTE THIS IN...It will devastate our future!!!

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Easley Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: Rob Easley Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 10:34 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

-----Original Message-----From: H, oliverio **Section 17**, 2022 10:52 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: Rosie Duran Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 10:52 AM To: CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Vote of opposition to the Tenant Protection ordinance

Warning: External

External

The fourth amendment to the U.S. Constitution:

Amendment IV.² The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses,...... My name is Rosie Duran, I have been working in the Housing industry since 1987, and later in the private industry managing housing properties, I am currently representing property owners renting from one house to multiple units in Chula Vista and other cities.

I oppose the protection ordinance for tenants because the voracity of the people taking advantage of the rental assistance programs and the kindness of the landlords is beyond

understanding! The Tenants beneficiaries that keep on pushing the system to accommodate their endless needs and perpetuate generations of recipients and their demands without conditions and limits are a threat to homeownership!

Property owners and landlords are the ones paying taxes for these thousands of Tenants who have received free of any conditions millions of dollars for rental assistance and other programs during the pandemic and are still living off these programs, they behave as if they are entitled to receive it, when in fact it is a privilege.

I have witnessed tenants getting rental assistance for 6 months and with the money, they did not pay for rent, they went on luxurious vacations, cosmetic surgeries, expensive cars, new furniture, motorcycles, and all kinds of expensive unnecessary items.

The beneficiaries don't have to pay taxes on the money the rental programs paid on their behalf. They received additional stimulus and unemployment benefits. <u>Now they want the landlords to fix and</u> <u>repair their units that are damaged beyond tear and wear and pay for their relocation expenses? and if landlords need to make repairs to improve the quality of the units, or have a relative occupy the unit, the tenants propose to have them wait for a whole year!!!</u>

The fourth amendment represents the right of the homeowners, any variance or misinterpretation is unconstitutional.

I oppose any extension of any benefits, contemplations, concessions, or special arrangements for tenants to the expenses of the landlords, who will end up selling their properties to new homeowners, apartment conversions will turn rentals into condos and will be sold to those who work and contribute to the economy instead, thus it'll increase the lack of inventory for the rental units if this ridiculous and unconstitutional ordinance passes.

Kindest Regards,

-----Original Message-----From: Roland Garza Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 11:01 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: jamielebeau76 Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 11:18 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>

Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

-----Original Message-----From: daniel jaramillo Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 11:32 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco.

The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home.

Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Matos Received 5/17/2022

From: Marie Matos

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:47 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez
steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>;
acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>
Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. -----Original Message-----From: RC Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:59 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

"Government" has no business governing private property. Solve the homeless/transient problem some other way. Maybe levy fees on developers as part of the building/construction fee process and use those funds to build "entry level" (<1000 sft.) housing (better than people living on overpasses and in the bushes along our highways) as other communities have done.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Wynne D Received 5/17/2022

From: David Wynne Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:08 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows From: Georgine Wynne Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:08 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior,

encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Cordero Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: Margie Cordero Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:09 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: karit

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:10 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez
<jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>;
acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>
Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior,

encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Respectfully,

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Tracy Received 5/17/2022

From: Tracy

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:14 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior,

encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows -----Original Message-----From: Thom & Margaret Fellenbaum Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:28 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – netzero Received 5/17/2022

From: vsgraf@netzero.net

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 8:38 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> **Subject:** Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

Terrible idea.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Pruett Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: Ruby Pruett From: Ruby Pruett Frow Pruett From: Ruby Pruett From: Ruby Pruett From: Rub

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

-----Original Message-----From: daniel jaramillo Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 12:02 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco.

The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home.

Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Hughes Received 5/17/2022

From: Cheryl

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 4:19 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior,

encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows From: Renita Becton

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 5:37 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. From: Family Cheryl and Michael

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 6:58 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior,

encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Cox Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: Cox-Steve Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 6:59 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

From: Carmela Vergara Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:03 AM

To: acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Respectfully

From: Jim Pochodowicz

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:08 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our

community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Polan Received 5/17/2022

From: Roberto Lara Polan

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:12 AM

To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Please oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

Dear Public Servants,

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. **The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home.** Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Respectfully,

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Najera Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: James Najera Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:31 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Action Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Action Item 7.2 on todays agenda. The ordinance written poorly and may be used to harm our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City should be looking into ways to encourage builders to build residential properties in Chula Vista, remove red tape and regulations that would lower the cost of all new building in Chula Vista and pass the savings to buyers.

Please vote NO on City Agenda Action Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

Written Communications Item 7.2 – Ariaz Received 5/17/2022

-----Original Message-----From: patti loans Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:47 AM To: Mary Salas <MSalas@chulavistaca.gov>; John McCann <jmccann@chulavistaca.gov>; Jill Galvez <jmgalvez@chulavistaca.gov>; Steve C. Padilla <spadilla@chulavistaca.gov>; acardneas@chulavistaca.gov; CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov> Subject: Oppose Item 7.2 - Protect Our Homes and Community

Warning: External Email

As a Chula Vista homeowner and taxpayer, I oppose Item 7.2. The ordinance harms our community by violating our private property rights, enables bad and criminal behavior, encourages frivolous lawsuits, and reduces the value of our homes. Chula Vista doesn't need to copy ordinances from the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco. The City shouldn't require that property owners pay off criminal squatters and attorneys in order to move back into their own home. Please vote NO on City Agenda Item 7.2 and listen to Chula Vista residents.

