

From: THERESA ACERRO <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, July 09, 2021 12:52 PM
To: CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>
Subject: comment for July 13

Warning:
External
Email

There does not now appear to be a way to submit comments directly any more with new confusing format although one person apparently submitted by emailing you already so I guess I will do that also. i see it now says Chrome is supported so maybe I will be able to hear meeting finally without having to use my laptop.

5.3 opposed.

I don't know why I waste my time commenting, but the entire purpose of the 2020 General Plan was to provide totally balanced and potentially self supporting communities for the villages in Otay Ranch. Our great long range planners like Michel Meacham knew that to be financially viable these villages needed to be self supporting-no need for prop A or P or the next one- because each village could provide jobs and what the residents required as well as maintenance money for infrastructure. (It was already too late to save Eastlake.) Again the council plans on voting to make that impossible by changing commercial and industrial zoning to residential. Requiring developer to pay 4 million extra for "economic development" (impossible with new zoning) is only reason your chart shows a small profit in 20 years. What about the next 40??

Development Service people are not long range planners. Planning should be separate and long range. This fantasy mentioned at a previous meeting that people sell and move every 5 years causing property taxes to keep up with needs ---is a fantasy.

At least 2 of Planning Commissioners have finally grasped the concept and voted against this. Although at this point the situation is fairly hopeless.

5.4 When amending the campaign contributions ordinance more important issues such as not allowing people who have done or are definitely planning to do business requiring council approval to donate as well as their relatives and employees and not allowing employee unions to donate is more important than the unlikely possibility of redistricting changing a council person's residence's district is a far more important needed change.

Theresa Acerro
president of SWCVCA